

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,580	07/12/2001	Shell Sterling Simpson	10007646-1	4266
7590 11/02/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LESNIEWSKI, VICTOR D	
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2155	

2155 DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A At At	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	09/905,580	SIMPSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor Lesniewski	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuder Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruite, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	July 2001.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and are		e Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applic Tiority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage
COS THE ATTACHER GOTTING CONTOUT TO A III	ot of the continue copies not rece	
A44-21		
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Neterences Cited (P10-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail	

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This application has been examined.

2. Claims 1-38 are now pending.

# Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claims 16 and 17 make claim to "the product of claim 10." However claim 10 does not state a product and therefore claims 16 and 17 cannot be dependent on claim 10. For the purpose of applying prior art it will be assumed that claims 16 and 17 refer to the product of claim 15.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adolfsson (U.S. Patent Number 6,092,078) in view of Zothner (U.S. Patent Number 6,751,657).
- 6. Adolfsson disclosed a method and apparatus for interfacing network peripheral devices with a web browser where the web browser provides the user with a graphical user interface that allows the user to control different options of the peripherals. In an analogous art, Zothner

Art Unit: 2155

disclosed a business rules manager module that associates business rules with actions in terms of the role of a user in the system. Zothner's system is designed for an object-oriented client-server environment and Adolfsson's system is exemplary of this type of network.

Page 3

- 7. Concerning claim 1 and the other independent claims, Adolfsson did not explicitly state that his system could differentiate between interface accessible controls that the user has permission to access and those that he does not. However, Zothner's system describes a set of user profiles that help define the role of each user and contain security and permission information for each user. Since the inventions encompass the same field of endeavor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Adolfsson by adding the ability to check for user permissions as provided by Zothner. This would make sense because it would allow a higher degree of management and security features in Adolfsson's system. Zothner cites the need for this expanded capability in management as being important to the availability and reliability of network systems (see column 4, line 62 through column 5, line 12), two features that are very important to Adolfsson's system for continuously monitoring information. This motivation also applies to those dependent claims utilizing the same combination.
- 8. Some claims will be discussed together. Those claims which are essentially the same except that they set forth the claimed invention as a computer program product are rejected under the same rationale applied to the described claim.
- 9. Thereby, the combination of Adolfsson and Zothner discloses:

Art Unit: 2155

• <Claims 1 and 15>

A method for mediating access to production options, comprising: acquiring a user's access request for a production device (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 21-24); and providing the user with an interface for the production device, the interface having user accessible controls for only those options for which the user has permission to access (Adolfsson, column 6, lines 6-9 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31).

Page 4

• <Claims 2 and 16>

The method of Claim 1, wherein the act of acquiring comprises intercepting an access request directed to the production device (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 6-9).

<Claims 3 and 17>

The method of Claim 1, wherein the act of acquiring comprises redirecting the access request (Adolfsson, column 2, lines 61-63).

• <Claims 4 and 18>

The method of Claim 1, wherein the act of providing comprises: accessing data representing production options to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-28 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31); generating an interface according to the accessed data providing user accessible controls for only those options for which the user has permission to access; and presenting the user with the generated interface (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 28-32).

Art Unit: 2155

<Claims 5 and 19>

The method of Claim 4, wherein the act of accessing comprises obtaining credentials for the user and locating a user record using the credentials, the user record containing the data representing production options to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8).

Page 5

• <Claims 6 and 20>

The method of Claim 4 wherein the act of generating comprises generating the interface in the form of a web page and the act of presenting comprises presenting the generated web page to a web browser (Adolfsson, column 4, lines 21-23).

• <Claims 7 and 21>

The method of Claim 1, wherein the act of providing comprises: retrieving an interface for the production device, the interface having user accessible controls for selecting production options for the production device (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 35-49); modifying the interface to allow the user access to the controls for only the production options for which the user has permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31); and presenting the user with the modified interface (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 50-60).

• <Claims 8 and 22>

The method of Claim 7, wherein the act of modifying comprises: accessing data representing production options to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31); and modifying the interface according to the accessed data providing user accessible

Art Unit: 2155

controls for only those options for which the user has permission to access (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 35-49).

Page 6

## <Claims 9 and 23>

The method of Claim 8, wherein the interface is a web page containing instructions for displaying controls for selecting production options and wherein the instructions are associated with one or more tags each tag identifying a particular production option, wherein the act of altering comprises identifying the tags for production options to which the user does not have access and altering the instructions associated with those tags (Zothner, column 19, line 58 through column 20, line 4).

## <Claims 10 and 24>

The method of Claim 8, wherein the act of accessing comprises obtaining credentials for the user and locating a record for the user using the credentials, the record containing the data representing production options to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8).

## <Claims 11 and 25>

The method of Claim 7, wherein the acts of retrieving and modifying are performed on a network device other than the production device (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 4-16).

## <Claims 12 and 26>

The method of Claim 7 wherein the act of retrieving comprises retrieving the interface in the form of a web page, and the act of presenting comprises presenting the modified web page to a web browser (Adolfsson, column 4, lines 21-23).

Art Unit: 2155

<Claims 13 and 27>

A method for mediating access to production options, comprising: acquiring a user's access request for a production device (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 21-24); accessing a record established for the user, the record containing data representing the production options for the production device to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8); generating a web page for the production device according to the user's record; and presenting the user with the generated interface (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32).

Page 7

• <Claims 14 and 28>

A method for mediating access to production options, comprising: acquiring a user's access request for a production device (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 21-24); retrieving a web page for the production device, the web page having user accessible controls for selecting production options (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32); accessing a record established for the user, the record containing data representing the production options for the production device to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8), and altering the web page according to the user's record; and presenting the user with the modified web page (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 35-60).

<Claim 29>

29. In a computer network, a system for managing electronic document production, the system comprising: a production device (Adolfsson, column 2, lines 64-67); a client operable to identify a target document, issue a user's access request for a selected

Art Unit: 2155

Page 8

production device, and select production options (Adolfsson, column 4, lines 21-23 and column 5, lines 21-49); a permission service in electronic communication with the client and the production device, the permission service operable to acquire the access request for the production device and provide the user with an interface for the production device, the interface having user accessible controls for only those options for which the user has permission to access (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31).

#### • <Claim 30>

The system of Claim 29, wherein an interface is a web page and the client is a web browser (Adolfsson, column 4, lines 21-23).

#### <Claim 31>

The system of Claim 29, further comprising one or more user records accessible by the permission service, each user record containing data representing the production options to which the user does and/or does not have permission to access for the production device (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8).

#### • <Claim 32>

The system of Claim 31, further comprising: a production server operable to generate an interface according to a user's record (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 4-16); wherein the permission service is operable to direct the production server to generate an interface according to the user's record and to direct to the client the generated interface (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31).

Art Unit: 2155

#### <Claim 33>

The system of Claim 31, further comprising: a production server operable to serve an interface according to a user's record, the interface having user accessible controls for selecting production options for the target document; wherein the permission service is operable to retrieve an interface from the production server for a selected production device, modify the interface according to the user's record, and direct to the client the modified interface (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 35-60 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31).

Page 9

#### <Claim 34>

The system of Claim 31, further comprising a permission engine operable to generate an interface having user accessible controls for managing user records (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8).

#### <Claim 35>

The system of Claim 34, further comprising one or more device records, each device record containing data representing the production options offered by the particular production device, and wherein the permission engine is operable to parse the device records to generate the interface for managing the user records (Adolfsson, column 9, line 66 through column 10, line 10 and column 16, lines 44-50).

#### <Claim 36>

The system of Claim 35, further comprising: a device locator operable to detect new production devices; and an update service operable to create a device record for each Art Unit: 2155

newly detected production device (Adolfsson, column 16, line 51 through column 17, line 5).

#### <Claim 37>

In a computer network, a system for managing electronic document production, the system comprising: a production device (Adolfsson, column 2, lines 64-67); one or more user records, each user record containing data representing the production options to which the particular user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8); a production server in communication with the production device and operable to generate an interface for that production device according to a user record (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32); a client operable to identify a target document, issue a user's access request for the production device, and select production options (Adolfsson, column 4, lines 21-23 and column 5, lines 21-49); a permission service operable to access the user's record, direct the production server to generate an interface for the production device according to the user's record, and to direct to the client the generated interface (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31); one or more device records, each device record containing data representing the production options offered by the particular production device (Adolfsson, column 9, line 66 through column 10, line 10); a permission engine operable to parse the device records and generate an web page for managing user records (Adolfsson, column 16, lines 44-50 and column 4, lines 21-23 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8); a device locator operable to detect new production devices; and an update service operable to create a

Art Unit: 2155

device record for each newly detected production device (Adolfsson, column 16, line 51 through column 17, line 5).

## <Claim 38>

In a computer network, a system for managing electronic document production, the system comprising: a production device (Adolfsson, column 2, lines 64-67); one or more user records, each user record containing, for each production device, data representing the production options to which the particular user does and/or does not have permission to access (Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8); a production server in communication with the production device and operable to serve an interface for that production device, the interface having user accessible controls for selecting production options for the production device (Adolfsson, column 3, lines 25-32); a client operable to identify a target document, issue a user's access request for the production device, and select production options (Adolfsson, column 4, lines 21-23 and column 5, lines 21-49); a permission service operable to access the user's record, retrieve the interface from the production server, modify the interface according to the user's record, and to direct to the client the modified interface (Adolfsson, column 5, lines 21-60 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8 and column 19, lines 15-31); one or more device records, each device record containing data representing the production options offered by the particular production device (Adolfsson, column 9, line 66 through column 10, line 10); a permission engine operable to parse the device records and generate an web page for managing user records (Adolfsson, column 16, lines 44-50 and column 4, lines 21-23 and Zothner, column 9, line 64 through column 10, line 8); a device locator

Art Unit: 2155

operable to detect new production devices; and an update service operable to create a device record for each newly detected production device (Adolfsson, column 16, line 51 through column 17, line 5).

Page 12

Since the combination of Adolfsson and Zothner discloses all of the above limitations, claims 1-38 are rejected.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.
  - Snyder et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,564,109) disclosed a remote user interface for prioritizing and selecting from a plurality of document production peripheral devices.
  - Sanchez et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,832,298) disclosed an adaptive graphical user interface for a network peripheral.
  - Venkatraman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,956,487) disclosed a web server included in a device to provide access to the user interface functions for that device through a device web page.
  - Krishnan (U.S. Patent Number 6,157,950) disclosed methods for coupling a network of computers and associated peripheral devices to the Internet.
  - Kumpf et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,289,371) disclosed a method for client-server scanning that is actively managed through a web browser interface on a client.
  - Wood et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,453,127) disclosed a method for establishing an Internet user interface to a copier or printer apparatus at a remote location.

Art Unit: 2155

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor Lesniewski whose telephone number is 571-272-3987.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ルズ

Victor Lesniewski Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2155

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER